

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/813,553	03/21/2001	Masayuki Tada	0828.65333	3668	
24978	7590 12/14/2006		EXAMINER		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			AKINTOLA, OLABODE		
300 S WACE 25TH FLOO		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	- -		3691	•	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/200	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/813,553		TADA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Olabode Aki	ntola	3691				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply 	n appears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s sply received by the Office later than three months after the independent of the provided of the control of the con	G DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. eriod will apply and will e statute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tir xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on a	14 Sentember 200	76					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 September 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
,								
· ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	,	·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
•	la) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are withd		eration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.							
• -								
• ===	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election req	uirement.					
ŕ	on Papers	·			,			
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the	Fxaminer				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to	-	-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•		CFR 1 121(d)			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ments have been	received in Applicat	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority document	s have been receive	ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		•			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certifie	d copies not receive	ed.				
				•				
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F					
	No(s)/Mail Date)	- ·- • • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff (US 6009427) ("Wolff") in view of Ikeda et al (US 5822785) ("Ikeda").

Re claim 1: Wolff teaches a data linking system for linking data between a transmitter side and a receiver side, comprising: a data storage device for storing said data (Fig. 2A, RN {64,66}; col. 8, lines 10-11, at least); a transmitter-side data linking apparatus including transmitter-side storage information management means for managing storage information concerning control of storage of said data in said data storage device (Fig. 2A, RN {54} "Write Access", col. 8, line 50-col. 9, line 3; Fig. 2C, RN {96}; col. 9, lines 15-20), transmitter-side storage information interface means for transmitting and receiving said storage information for linkage with said

Art Unit: 3691

receiver side, and data writing means for writing said data into said data storage device based on said storage information (Fig. 2C, "Physical I/O"; col. 9, line 25 to col. 10, ;line 27: col. 7, lines 6-62); and a receiver-side data linking apparatus including receiver-side storage information management means for managing said storage information concerning control of storage of said data in said data storage device, receiver-side storage information interface means for transmitting and receiving said storage information for linkage with said transmitter side, and data reading means for reading said data from said data storage device based on said storage information (comparable citations as for transmitter side above, Wolff discloses a read function at Figs. 5A-5E and related text, at least. Per Fig 2A, Clients 54 and 56 are understood to be transmitter (write) side and receiver (read) side elements communicating with storage device 66); wherein said transmitter-side storage information management means and said receiver-side storage information management means each manage a writing start number for use in writing said data into said data storage device (col. 14, lines 48-51) and a reading end number for use in reading said data from said data storage device (col. 14, lines 48-51); Wolff does not explicitly teach wherein said storage information is exchanged between said transmitter-side storage information interface means and said receiver-side storage information interface means, whereby the writing start number and the reading end number of said storage information under the management of said transmitter-side storage information management

means and that under the management of the receiver-side storage information management

means are updated to assume identical values. Ikeda teaches wherein said storage information is

exchanged between said transmitter-side storage information interface means and said receiver-

side storage information interface means, whereby the writing start number and the reading end

number of said storage information under the management of said transmitter-side storage information management means and that under the management of the receiver-side storage information management means are updated to assume identical values (col. 13, lines 34-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wolff to include this step as taught by Ikeda. One would have been motivated to do so in order to ensure that all transfer requests are processed, thereby synchronizing of both write and read pointers.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3691

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olabode Akintola whose telephone number is 571-272-3629.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER